

#### Continuous-time Markov Chains

Gonzalo Mateos Dept. of ECE and Goergen Institute for Data Science University of Rochester gmateosb@ece.rochester.edu http://www.ece.rochester.edu/~gmateosb/

October 31, 2016





- Continuous-time positive variable  $t \in [0, \infty)$
- Time-dependent random state X(t) takes values on a countable set
  - ▶ In general denote states as i = 0, 1, 2, ..., i.e., here the state space is  $\mathbb{N}$
  - ► If X(t) = i we say "the process is in state i at time t"
- **Def:** Process X(t) is a continuous-time Markov chain (CTMC) if

$$P(X(t+s) = j | X(s) = i, X(u) = x(u), u < s)$$
  
=  $P(X(t+s) = j | X(s) = i)$ 

• Markov property  $\Rightarrow$  Given the present state X(s)

 $\Rightarrow$  Future X(t + s) is independent of the past X(u) = x(u), u < s

In principle need to specify functions P (X(t + s) = j | X(s) = i) ⇒ For all times t and s, for all pairs of states (i, j)



#### Notation

- ► X[s : t] state values for all times s ≤ u ≤ t, includes borders
- X(s:t) values for all times s < u < t, borders excluded
- X(s:t] values for all times  $s < u \le t$ , exclude left, include right
- X[s:t) values for all times  $s \le u < t$ , include left, exclude right
- ► Homogeneous CTMC if P (X(t + s) = j | X(s) = i) invariant for all s ⇒ We restrict consideration to homogeneous CTMCs
- ► Still need  $P_{ij}(t) := P(X(t+s) = j | X(s) = i)$  for all t and pairs (i, j) $\Rightarrow P_{ij}(t)$  is known as the transition probability function. More later

Markov property and homogeneity make description somewhat simpler

### Transition times



- $T_i$  = time until transition out of state *i* into any other state *j*
- ► **Def:**  $T_i$  is a random variable called transition time with ccdf  $P(T_i > t) = P(X(0 : t] = i | X(0) = i)$
- ▶ Probability of  $T_i > t + s$  given that  $T_i > s$ ? Use cdf expression

$$P(T_i > t + s | T_i > s) = P(X(0 : t + s] = i | X[0 : s] = i)$$
  
= P(X(s : t + s] = i | X[0 : s] = i)  
= P(X(s : t + s] = i | X(s) = i)  
= P(X(0 : t] = i | X(0) = i)

• Used that X[0:s] = i given, Markov property, and homogeneity

► From definition of  $T_i \Rightarrow P(T_i > t + s | T_i > s) = P(T_i > t)$ ⇒ Transition times are exponential random variables



- Exponential transition times is a fundamental property of CTMCs
   ⇒ Can be used as "algorithmic" definition of CTMCs
- Continuous-time random process X(t) is a CTMC if
  - (a) Transition times  $T_i$  are exponential random variables with mean  $1/
    u_i$
  - (b) When they occur, transition from state i to j with probability  $P_{ij}$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} P_{ij} = 1, \qquad P_{ii} = 0$$

(c) Transition times  $T_i$  and transitioned state j are independent

- Define matrix P grouping transition probabilities P<sub>ij</sub>
- CTMC states evolve as in a discrete-time Markov chain
  - $\Rightarrow$  State transitions occur at exponential intervals  $T_i \sim \exp(\nu_i)$
  - $\Rightarrow$  As opposed to occurring at fixed intervals



- Consider a CTMC with transition matrix  $\mathbf{P}$  and rates  $\nu_i$
- Def: CTMC's embedded discrete-time MC has transition matrix P
- ► Transition probabilities **P** describe a discrete-time MC
  - $\Rightarrow$  No self-transitions ( $P_{ii} = 0$ , **P**'s diagonal null)
  - $\Rightarrow$  Can use underlying discrete-time MCs to study CTMCs
- **Def:** State *j* accessible from *i* if accessible in the embedded MC
- Def: States i and j communicate if they do so in the embedded MC
   ⇒ Communication is a class property
- ► Recurrence, transience, ergodicity. Class properties .... More later

#### Transition rates



- Expected value of transition time  $T_i$  is  $\mathbb{E}[T_i] = 1/\nu_i$ 
  - $\Rightarrow$  Can interpret  $\nu_i$  as the rate of transition out of state i
  - $\Rightarrow$  Of these transitions, a fraction  $P_{ij}$  are into state j
- **Def:** Transition rate from *i* to *j* is  $q_{ij} := \nu_i P_{ij}$
- Transition rates offer yet another specification of CTMCs
- If  $q_{ij}$  are given can recover  $\nu_i$  as

$$\nu_i = \nu_i \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} P_{ij} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \nu_i P_{ij} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} q_{ij}$$

• Can also recover 
$$P_{ij}$$
 as  $\Rightarrow P_{ij} = q_{ij}/\nu_i = q_{ij} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} q_{ij}\right)^{-1}$ 



- State X(t) = 0, 1, ... Interpret as number of individuals
- Birth and deaths occur at state-dependent rates. When X(t) = i
- ► Births  $\Rightarrow$  Individuals added at exponential times with mean  $1/\lambda_i$  $\Rightarrow$  Birth or arrival rate  $= \lambda_i$  births per unit of time
- ► Deaths  $\Rightarrow$  Individuals removed at exponential times with rate  $1/\mu_i$  $\Rightarrow$  Death or departure rate =  $\mu_i$  deaths per unit of time
- Birth and death times are independent
- Birth and death (BD) processes are then CTMCs

### Transition times and probabilities



- ▶ Q: Transition times  $T_i$ ? Leave state  $i \neq 0$  when birth or death occur
- ▶ If  $T_B$  and  $T_D$  are times to next birth and death,  $T_i = \min(T_B, T_D)$ ⇒ Since  $T_B$  and  $T_D$  are exponential, so is  $T_i$  with rate

$$\nu_i = \lambda_i + \mu_i$$

► When leaving state *i* can go to *i* + 1 (birth first) or *i* - 1 (death first) ⇒ Birth occurs before death with probability  $\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_i + \mu_i} = P_{i,i+1}$ ⇒ Death occurs before birth with probability  $\frac{\mu_i}{\lambda_i + \mu_i} = P_{i,i-1}$ 

Leave state 0 only if a birth occurs, then

$$u_0 = \lambda_0, \qquad P_{01} = 1$$

⇒ If CTMC leaves 0, goes to 1 with probability 1 ⇒ Might not leave 0 if  $\lambda_0 = 0$  (e.g., to model extinction)

#### Transition rates



▶ Rate of transition from *i* to i + 1 is (recall definition  $q_{ij} = \nu_i P_{ij}$ )

$$q_{i,i+1} = \nu_i P_{i,i+1} = (\lambda_i + \mu_i) \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_i + \mu_i} = \lambda_i$$

• Likewise, rate of transition from i to i - 1 is

$$q_{i,i-1} = \nu_i P_{i,i-1} = (\lambda_i + \mu_i) \frac{\mu_i}{\lambda_i + \mu_i} = \mu_i$$

• For 
$$i = 0 \Rightarrow q_{01} = \nu_0 P_{01} = \lambda_0$$



Somewhat more natural representation. Similar to discrete-time MCs



- ▶ A Poisson process is a BD process with  $\lambda_i = \lambda$  and  $\mu_i = 0$  constant
- State N(t) counts the total number of events (arrivals) by time t  $\Rightarrow$  Arrivals occur a rate of  $\lambda$  per unit time
  - $\Rightarrow$  Transition times are the i.i.d. exponential interarrival times



► The Poisson process is a CTMC

# M/M/1 queue example



- ▶ An M/M/1 queue is a BD process with  $\lambda_i = \lambda$  and  $\mu_i = \mu$  constant
- State Q(t) is the number of customers in the system at time t ⇒ Customers arrive for service at a rate of λ per unit time ⇒ They are serviced at a rate of μ customers per unit time



► The M/M is for Markov arrivals/Markov departures
 ⇒ Implies a Poisson arrival process, exponential services times
 ⇒ The 1 is because there is only one server



- Two equivalent ways of specifying a CTMC
- 1) Transition time averages  $1/\nu_i$  + transition probabilities  $P_{ij}$ 
  - $\Rightarrow$  Easier description
  - $\Rightarrow$  Typical starting point for CTMC modeling
- 2) Transition probability function  $P_{ij}(t) := P(X(t+s) = j | X(s) = i)$ 
  - $\Rightarrow$  More complete description for all  $t \ge 0$
  - $\Rightarrow$  Similar in spirit to  $P_{ii}^n$  for discrete-time Markov chains
- ► Goal: compute  $P_{ij}(t)$  from transition times and probabilities ⇒ Notice two obvious properties  $P_{ij}(0) = 0$ ,  $P_{ii}(0) = 1$



- ► Goal is to obtain a differential equation whose solution is P<sub>ij</sub>(t)
  ⇒ Study change in P<sub>ij</sub>(t) when time changes slightly
- Separate in two subproblems (divide and conquer)
  - $\Rightarrow$  Transition probabilities for small time h,  $P_{ij}(h)$
  - $\Rightarrow$  Transition probabilities in t + h as function of those in t and h
- ▶ We can combine both results in two different ways
- 1) Jump from 0 to t then to  $t + h \Rightarrow$  Process runs a little longer  $\Rightarrow$  Changes where the process is going to  $\Rightarrow$  Forward equations
- 2) Jump from 0 to *h* then to  $t + h \Rightarrow$  Process starts a little later
  - $\Rightarrow$  Changes where the process comes from  $\ \Rightarrow$  Backward equations



#### Theorem

The transition probability functions  $P_{ii}(t)$  and  $P_{ij}(t)$  satisfy the following limits as t approaches 0

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{P_{ij}(t)}{t} = q_{ij}, \qquad \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{1 - P_{ii}(t)}{t} = \nu_i$$

Since  $P_{ij}(0) = 0$ ,  $P_{ii}(0) = 1$  above limits are derivatives at t = 0

$$\left. \frac{\partial P_{ij}(t)}{\partial t} \right|_{t=0} = q_{ij}, \qquad \left. \frac{\partial P_{ii}(t)}{\partial t} \right|_{t=0} = -\nu_i$$

Limits also imply that for small h (recall Taylor series)

 $P_{ij}(h) = q_{ij}h + o(h),$   $P_{ii}(h) = 1 - \nu_i h + o(h)$ 

▶ Transition rates q<sub>ij</sub> are "instantaneous transition probabilities"
 ⇒ Transition probability coefficient for small time h

#### Theorem

For all times s and t the transition probability functions  $P_{ij}(t + s)$  are obtained from  $P_{ik}(t)$  and  $P_{kj}(s)$  as

$$P_{ij}(t+s) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_{ik}(t) P_{kj}(s)$$

► As for discrete-time MCs, to go from *i* to *j* in time t + s⇒ Go from *i* to some state *k* in time  $t \Rightarrow P_{ik}(t)$ 

- $\Rightarrow$  do nom 7 to some state k in time  $t \Rightarrow r_{ik}(t)$
- $\Rightarrow$  In the remaining time s go from k to  $j \Rightarrow P_{kj}(s)$
- $\Rightarrow$  Sum over all possible intermediate states k





#### Proof.

$$P_{ij}(t+s)$$

$$= P(X(t+s) = j | X(0) = i)$$
Definition of  $P_{ij}(t+s)$ 

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P(X(t+s) = j | X(t) = k, X(0) = i) P(X(t) = k | X(0) = i)$$
Law of total probability
$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P(X(t+s) = j | X(t) = k) P_{ik}(t)$$
Markov property of CTMC

and definition of  $P_{ik}(t)$ 

Definition of  $P_{kj}(s)$ 

$$=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}P_{kj}(s)P_{ik}(t)$$

## Combining both results

- Let us combine the last two results to express  $P_{ij}(t+h)$
- ▶ Use Chapman-Kolmogorov's equations for  $0 \rightarrow t \rightarrow h$

$$P_{ij}(t+h) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_{ik}(t) P_{kj}(h) = P_{ij}(t) P_{jj}(h) + \sum_{k=0, k \neq j}^{\infty} P_{ik}(t) P_{kj}(h)$$

• Substitute infinitesimal time expressions for  $P_{jj}(h)$  and  $P_{kj}(h)$ 

$$P_{ij}(t+h) = P_{ij}(t)(1-\nu_j h) + \sum_{k=0, k\neq j}^{\infty} P_{ik}(t)q_{kj}h + o(h)$$

Subtract  $P_{ij}(t)$  from both sides and divide by h

$$rac{P_{ij}(t+h)-P_{ij}(t)}{h}=-
u_jP_{ij}(t)+\sum_{k=0,k
eq j}^{\infty}P_{ik}(t)q_{kj}+rac{o(h)}{h}$$

▶ Right-hand side equals a "derivative" ratio. Let  $h \rightarrow 0$  to prove ...



#### Theorem

The transition probability functions  $P_{ij}(t)$  of a CTMC satisfy the system of differential equations (for all pairs *i*, *j*)

$$rac{\partial P_{ij}(t)}{\partial t} = \sum_{k=0,k
eq j}^{\infty} q_{kj} P_{ik}(t) - 
u_j P_{ij}(t)$$

- ► Interpret each summand in Kolmogorov's forward equations
  - $\partial P_{ij}(t)/\partial t$  = rate of change of  $P_{ij}(t)$
  - $q_{kj}P_{ik}(t) = (\text{transition into } k \text{ in } 0 \rightarrow t) \times$

(rate of moving into *j* in next instant)

- ►  $\nu_j P_{ij}(t) = (\text{transition into } j \text{ in } 0 \rightarrow t) \times (\text{rate of leaving } j \text{ in next instant})$
- Change in  $P_{ij}(t) = \sum_{k} (\text{moving into } j \text{ from } k) (\text{leaving } j)$
- Kolmogorov's forward equations valid in most cases, but not always



### Kolmogorov's backward equations

- ▶ For forward equations used Chapman-Kolmogorov's for  $0 \rightarrow t \rightarrow h$
- ▶ For backward equations we use  $0 \rightarrow h \rightarrow t$  to express  $P_{ij}(t + h)$  as

$$P_{ij}(t+h) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_{ik}(h) P_{kj}(t) = P_{ii}(h) P_{ij}(t) + \sum_{k=0, k \neq i}^{\infty} P_{ik}(h) P_{kj}(t)$$

• Substitute infinitesimal time expression for  $P_{ii}(h)$  and  $P_{ik}(h)$ 

$$P_{ij}(t+h) = (1-\nu_i h)P_{ij}(t) + \sum_{k=0, k\neq i}^{\infty} q_{ik}hP_{kj}(t) + o(h)$$

Subtract  $P_{ij}(t)$  from both sides and divide by h

$$rac{P_{ij}(t+h) - P_{ij}(t)}{h} = - 
u_i P_{ij}(t) + \sum_{k=0, k 
eq i}^{\infty} q_{ik} P_{kj}(t) + rac{o(h)}{h}$$

▶ Right-hand side equals a "derivative" ratio. Let  $h \rightarrow 0$  to prove ...





#### Theorem

The transition probability functions  $P_{ij}(t)$  of a CTMC satisfy the system of differential equations (for all pairs *i*, *j*)

$$rac{\partial P_{ij}(t)}{\partial t} = \sum_{k=0,k
eq i}^{\infty} q_{ik} P_{kj}(t) - 
u_i P_{ij}(t)$$

- Interpret each summand in Kolmogorov's backward equations
  - $\partial P_{ij}(t)/\partial t$  = rate of change of  $P_{ij}(t)$
  - $q_{ik}P_{kj}(t) = (\text{transition into } j \text{ in } h \rightarrow t) \times$

(rate of transition into k in initial instant)

•  $\nu_i P_{ij}(t) = (\text{transition into } j \text{ in } h \rightarrow t) \times$ 

(rate of leaving *i* in initial instant)

- Forward equations  $\Rightarrow$  change in  $P_{ij}(t)$  if finish h later
- Backward equations  $\Rightarrow$  change in  $P_{ij}(t)$  if start h earlier
- ▶ Where process goes (forward) vs. where process comes from (backward)

 $\mathsf{Ex:}\,$  Simplest possible CTMC has only two states. Say 0 and 1

- Transition rates are  $q_{01}$  and  $q_{10}$
- ▶ Given q<sub>01</sub> and q<sub>10</sub> can find rates of transitions out of {0, 1}

$$u_0 = \sum_j q_{0j} = q_{01}, \qquad 
u_1 = \sum_j q_{1j} = q_{10}$$

Use Kolmogorov's equations to find transition probability functions

$$P_{00}(t), P_{01}(t), P_{10}(t), P_{11}(t)$$

Transition probabilities out of each state sum up to one

$$P_{00}(t) + P_{01}(t) = 1, \qquad P_{10}(t) + P_{11}(t) = 1$$





ROCHESTER

Kolmogorov's forward equations (process runs a little longer)

$${\sf P}_{ij}^{'}(t) = \sum_{k=0,k
eq j}^{\infty} q_{kj} {\sf P}_{ik}(t) - 
u_j {\sf P}_{ij}(t)$$

For the two state CTMC

$$\begin{aligned} P_{00}^{'}(t) &= q_{10}P_{01}(t) - \nu_{0}P_{00}(t), \qquad P_{01}^{'}(t) &= q_{01}P_{00}(t) - \nu_{1}P_{01}(t) \\ P_{10}^{'}(t) &= q_{10}P_{11}(t) - \nu_{0}P_{10}(t), \qquad P_{11}^{'}(t) &= q_{01}P_{10}(t) - \nu_{1}P_{11}(t) \end{aligned}$$

• Probabilities out of 0 sum up to  $1 \Rightarrow eqs.$  in first row are equivalent

▶ Probabilities out of 1 sum up to 1  $\Rightarrow$  eqs. in second row are equivalent  $\Rightarrow$  Pick the equations for  $P'_{00}(t)$  and  $P'_{11}(t)$ 



▶ Use  $\Rightarrow$  Relation between transition rates:  $\nu_0 = q_{01}$  and  $\nu_1 = q_{10}$  $\Rightarrow$  Probs. sum 1:  $P_{01}(t) = 1 - P_{00}(t)$  and  $P_{10}(t) = 1 - P_{11}(t)$ 

$$egin{aligned} & P_{00}^{'}(t) = q_{10}ig[1-P_{00}(t)ig] - q_{01}P_{00}(t) = q_{10} - (q_{10}+q_{01})P_{00}(t) \ & P_{11}^{'}(t) = q_{01}ig[1-P_{11}(t)ig] - q_{10}P_{11}(t) = q_{01} - (q_{10}+q_{01})P_{11}(t) \end{aligned}$$

- ► Can obtain exact same pair of equations from backward equations
- ▶ First-order linear differential equations  $\Rightarrow$  Solutions are exponential
- For  $P_{00}(t)$  propose candidate solution (just differentiate to check)

$$P_{00}(t) = \frac{q_{10}}{q_{10} + q_{01}} + c e^{-(q_{10} + q_{01})t}$$

 $\Rightarrow$  To determine *c* use initial condition  $P_{00}(0) = 1$ 

## Solution of forward equations (continued)



• Evaluation of candidate solution at initial condition  $P_{00}(0) = 1$  yields

$$1 = \frac{q_{10}}{q_{10} + q_{01}} + c \Rightarrow c = \frac{q_{01}}{q_{10} + q_{01}}$$

• Finally transition probability function  $P_{00}(t)$ 

$$P_{00}(t) = \frac{q_{10}}{q_{10} + q_{01}} + \frac{q_{01}}{q_{10} + q_{01}} e^{-(q_{10} + q_{01})t}$$

• Repeat for  $P_{11}(t)$ . Same exponent, different constants

$$P_{11}(t) = rac{q_{01}}{q_{10}+q_{01}} + rac{q_{10}}{q_{10}+q_{01}}e^{-(q_{10}+q_{01})t}$$

► As time goes to infinity, P<sub>00</sub>(t) and P<sub>11</sub>(t) converge exponentially ⇒ Convergence rate depends on magnitude of q<sub>10</sub> + q<sub>01</sub>



• Recall  $P_{01}(t) = 1 - P_{00}(t)$  and  $P_{10}(t) = 1 - P_{11}(t)$ 

Limiting (steady-state) probabilities are

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} P_{00}(t) = \frac{q_{10}}{q_{10} + q_{01}}, \qquad \lim_{t \to \infty} P_{01}(t) = \frac{q_{01}}{q_{10} + q_{01}}$$
$$\lim_{t \to \infty} P_{11}(t) = \frac{q_{01}}{q_{10} + q_{01}}, \qquad \lim_{t \to \infty} P_{10}(t) = \frac{q_{10}}{q_{10} + q_{01}}$$

Limit distribution exists and is independent of initial condition

 $\Rightarrow$  Compare across diagonals

## Kolmogorov's forward equations in matrix form



- ► Restrict attention to finite CTMCs with *N* states ⇒ Define matrix  $\mathbf{R} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$  with elements  $\mathbf{r}_{ij} = \mathbf{q}_{ij}$ ,  $\mathbf{r}_{ii} = -\nu_i$
- ► Rewrite Kolmogorov's forward eqs. as (process runs a little longer)  $P'_{ij}(t) = \sum_{k=1, k \neq i}^{N} q_{kj} P_{ik}(t) - \nu_j P_{ij}(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} r_{kj} P_{ik}(t)$
- Right-hand side defines elements of a matrix product

$$\mathbf{P}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} r_{11} \rightarrow r_{1j} & r_{1N} \\ r_{1j} P_{iN}(t) & r_{1N} \rightarrow r_{1N} \\ r_{kj} P_{iR}(t) & r_{k1} \rightarrow r_{kj} \rightarrow r_{kN} \\ r_{kj} P_{iR}(t) & r_{k1} \rightarrow r_{kj} \rightarrow r_{kN} \\ r_{k1} \rightarrow r_{kj} + r_{kN} \rightarrow r_{kN} \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{R}$$

$$\mathbf{P}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} P_{11}(t) & P_{1k}(t) + P_{1N}(t) \\ r_{k1} \rightarrow r_{k1} \rightarrow r_{k1} \rightarrow r_{k1} \rightarrow r_{k1} \\ P_{i1}(t) + P_{ik}(t) + P_{iN}(t) \\ r_{k1} \rightarrow r_{k2} \rightarrow r_{k1} \rightarrow r_{k1} \rightarrow r_{k1} \rightarrow r_{k1} \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{P}(t)\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{P}'(t)$$

## Kolmogorov's backward equations in matrix form



Similarly, Kolmogorov's backward eqs. (process starts a little later)

$$P_{ij}^{'}(t) = \sum_{k=1,k
eq i}^{N} q_{ik} P_{kj}(t) - \nu_i P_{ij}(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} r_{ik} P_{kj}(t)$$

Right-hand side also defines a matrix product

$$\mathbf{R} = \begin{pmatrix} r_{11} P_{1j}(t) & P_{11}(t) \rightarrow P_{1j}(t) & P_{1n}(t) \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ r_{ik} P_{kj}(t) & P_{kj}(t) \rightarrow P_{kj}(t) \rightarrow P_{kn}(t) \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ r_{in} P_{Nj}(t) & P_{Nj}(t) \rightarrow P_{Nj}(t) \rightarrow P_{NN}(t) \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{P}(t)$$

$$\mathbf{R} = \begin{pmatrix} r_{11} & r_{ik} & r_{iN} \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ r_{i1} & r_{ik} & r_{iN} \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ r_{N1} & r_{Nk} & r_{JN} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} s_{11} & s_{1j} & s_{1N} \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ s_{i1} & s_{ij} & s_{iN} \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ s_{N1} & s_{Nk} & s_{NN} \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{RP}(t) = \mathbf{P}'(t)$$



- Matrix form of Kolmogorov's forward equation  $\Rightarrow \mathbf{P}'(t) = \mathbf{P}(t)\mathbf{R}$
- Matrix form of Kolmogorov's backward equation ⇒ P'(t) = RP(t)
   ⇒ More similar than apparent

 $\Rightarrow$  But not equivalent because matrix product not commutative

Notwithstanding both equations have to accept the same solution

### Matrix exponential



- ▶ Kolmogorov's equations are first-order linear differential equations
   ⇒ They are coupled, P'<sub>ij</sub>(t) depends on P<sub>kj</sub>(t) for all k
   ⇒ Accepts exponential solution ⇒ Define matrix exponential
- **Def:** The matrix exponential  $e^{At}$  of matrix At is the series

$$e^{\mathbf{A}t} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\mathbf{A}t)^n}{n!} = \mathbf{I} + \mathbf{A}t + \frac{(\mathbf{A}t)^2}{2} + \frac{(\mathbf{A}t)^3}{2 \times 3} + \dots$$

Derivative of matrix exponential with respect to t

$$\frac{\partial e^{\mathbf{A}t}}{\partial t} = \mathbf{0} + \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A}^2 t + \frac{\mathbf{A}^3 t^2}{2} + \ldots = \mathbf{A} \left( \mathbf{I} + \mathbf{A}t + \frac{(\mathbf{A}t)^2}{2} + \ldots \right) = \mathbf{A} e^{\mathbf{A}t}$$

▶ Putting **A** on right side of product shows that  $\Rightarrow \frac{\partial e^{\mathbf{A}t}}{\partial t} = e^{\mathbf{A}t}\mathbf{A}$ 



- Propose solution of the form  $\mathbf{P}(t) = e^{\mathbf{R}t}$
- P(t) solves backward equations, since derivative is

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{P}(t)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial e^{\mathbf{R}t}}{\partial t} = \mathbf{R}e^{\mathbf{R}t} = \mathbf{R}\mathbf{P}(t)$$

It also solves forward equations

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{P}(t)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial e^{\mathbf{R}t}}{\partial t} = e^{\mathbf{R}t}\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{P}(t)\mathbf{R}$$

• Notice that  $\mathbf{P}(0) = \mathbf{I}$ , as it should  $(P_{ii}(0) = 1, \text{ and } P_{ij}(0) = 0)$ 



• Suppose  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is diagonalizable, i.e.,  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{U}^{-1}$ 

- $\Rightarrow$  Diagonal matrix  $\mathbf{D} = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$  collects eigenvalues  $\lambda_i$
- $\Rightarrow$  Matrix **U** has the corresponding eigenvectors as columns

We have the following neat identity

$$e^{\mathbf{A}t} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\mathbf{U}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{U}^{-1}t)^n}{n!} = \mathbf{U}\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\mathbf{D}t)^n}{n!}\right)\mathbf{U}^{-1} = \mathbf{U}e^{\mathbf{D}t}\mathbf{U}^{-1}$$

But since D is diagonal, then

$$e^{\mathbf{D}t} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\mathbf{D}t)^n}{n!} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{\lambda_1 t} & \dots & 0\\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots\\ 0 & \dots & e^{\lambda_n t} \end{pmatrix}$$





Ex: Simplest CTMC with two states 0 and 1  $\,$ 

- Transition rates are  $q_{01} = 3$  and  $q_{10} = 1$
- ▶ Recall transition time rates are  $\nu_0 = q_{01} = 3$ ,  $\nu_1 = q_{10} = 1$ , hence

$$\mathbf{R} = \begin{pmatrix} -\nu_0 & q_{01} \\ q_{10} & -\nu_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -3 & 3 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

▶ Eigenvalues of **R** are 0, -4, eigenvectors  $[1, 1]^T$  and  $[-3, 1]^T$ . Thus

$$\mathbf{U} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -3 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{U}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1/4 & 3/4 \\ -1/4 & 1/1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad e^{\mathbf{D}t} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-4t} \end{pmatrix}$$

The solution to the forward equations is

$$\mathbf{P}(t) = e^{\mathbf{R}t} = \mathbf{U}e^{\mathbf{D}t}\mathbf{U}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1/4 + (3/4)e^{-4t} & 3/4 - (3/4)e^{-4t} \\ 1/4 - (1/4)e^{-4t} & 3/4 + (1/4)e^{-4t} \end{pmatrix}$$



- ► Recall the embedded discrete-time MC associated with any CTMC
  - $\Rightarrow$  Transition probs. of MC form the matrix  ${\bf P}$  of the CTMC

 $\Rightarrow$  No self transitions ( $P_{ii} = 0$ , **P**'s diagonal null)

- States i ↔ j communicate in the CTMC if i ↔ j in the MC
   ⇒ Communication partitions MC in classes
  - $\Rightarrow$  Induces CTMC partition as well
- ▶ Def: CTMC is irreducible if embedded MC contains a single class
- ► State *i* is recurrent if it is recurrent in the embedded MC ⇒ Likewise, define transience and positive recurrence for CTMCs
- Transience and recurrence shared by elements of a MC class
   Transience and recurrence are class properties of CTMCs
- Periodicity not possible in CTMCs

#### Theorem

Consider irreducible, positive recurrent CTMC with transition rates  $\nu_i$  and  $q_{ij}$ . Then,  $\lim_{t\to\infty} P_{ij}(t)$  exists and is independent of the initial state *i*, *i.e.*,

$$P_j = \lim_{t \to \infty} P_{ij}(t)$$
 exists for all  $(i, j)$ 

Furthermore, steady-state probabilities  $P_j \ge 0$  are the unique nonnegative solution of the system of linear equations

$$u_j P_j = \sum_{k=0, k
eq j}^\infty q_{kj} P_k, \qquad \sum_{j=0}^\infty P_j = 1$$

► Limit distribution exists and is independent of initial condition

 $\Rightarrow$  Obtained as solution of system of linear equations

 $\Rightarrow$  Like discrete-time MCs, but equations slightly different



## Algebraic relation to determine limit probabilities



- As with MCs difficult part is to prove that  $P_j = \lim_{t \to \infty} P_{ij}(t)$  exists
- Algebraic relations obtained from Kolmogorov's forward equations

$$rac{\partial P_{ij}(t)}{\partial t} = \sum_{k=0,k
eq j}^{\infty} q_{kj} P_{ik}(t) - 
u_j P_{ij}(t)$$

▶ If limit distribution exists we have, independent of initial state *i* 

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\frac{\partial P_{ij}(t)}{\partial t}=0,\qquad \lim_{t\to\infty}P_{ij}(t)=P_j$$

Considering the limit of Kolomogorov's forward equations yields

$$0=\sum_{k=0,k\neq j}^{\infty}q_{kj}P_k-\nu_jP_j$$

Reordering terms the limit distribution equations follow



Ex: Simplest CTMC with two states 0 and 1

Transition rates are q<sub>01</sub> and q<sub>10</sub>



- From transition rates find mean transition times  $\nu_0 = q_{01}$ ,  $\nu_1 = q_{10}$
- Stationary distribution equations

$$\begin{split} \nu_0 P_0 &= q_{10} P_1, \qquad \nu_1 P_1 &= q_{01} P_0, \qquad P_0 + P_1 = 1, \\ q_{01} P_0 &= q_{10} P_1, \qquad q_{10} P_1 = q_{01} P_0 \end{split}$$

• Solution yields 
$$\Rightarrow P_0 = \frac{q_{10}}{q_{10} + q_{01}}, \qquad P_1 = \frac{q_{01}}{q_{10} + q_{01}}$$

- Larger rate  $q_{10}$  of entering  $0 \Rightarrow$  Larger prob.  $P_0$  of being at 0
- ▶ Larger rate  $q_{01}$  of entering 1  $\Rightarrow$  Larger prob.  $P_1$  of being at 1





**Def:** Fraction of time  $T_i(t)$  spent in state *i* by time *t* 

$$T_i(t) := \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \mathbb{I}\left\{X(\tau) = i\right\} d\tau$$

 $\Rightarrow$   $T_i(t)$  a time/ergodic average,  $\lim_{t o \infty} T_i(t)$  is an ergodic limit

► If CTMC is irreducible, positive recurrent, the ergodic theorem holds

$$P_i = \lim_{t \to \infty} T_i(t) = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \mathbb{I} \{X(\tau) = i\} d\tau$$
 a.s.

• Ergodic limit coincides with limit probabilities (almost surely)



• Consider function f(i) associated with state *i*. Can write f(X(t)) as

$$f(X(t)) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f(i)\mathbb{I}\{X(t) = i\}$$

• Consider the time average of f(X(t))

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\frac{1}{t}\int_0^t f(X(\tau))d\tau = \lim_{t\to\infty}\frac{1}{t}\int_0^t\sum_{i=1}^\infty f(i)\mathbb{I}\left\{X(\tau)=i\right\}d\tau$$

Interchange summation with integral and limit to say

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\frac{1}{t}\int_0^t f(X(\tau))d\tau = \sum_{i=1}^\infty f(i)\lim_{t\to\infty}\frac{1}{t}\int_0^t \mathbb{I}\{X(\tau)=i\}d\tau = \sum_{i=1}^\infty f(i)P_i$$

Function's ergodic limit = Function's expectation under limiting dist.

## Limit distribution equations as balance equations



- ► Recall limit distribution equations  $\Rightarrow \nu_j P_j = \sum_{k=0, k\neq j}^{\infty} q_{kj} P_k$
- $P_j$  = fraction of time spent in state j
- ν<sub>j</sub> = rate of transition out of state j given CTMC is in state j
   ⇒ ν<sub>j</sub>P<sub>j</sub> = rate of transition out of state j (unconditional)
- ►  $q_{kj}$  = rate of transition from k to j given CTMC is in state k⇒  $q_{kj}P_k$  = rate of transition from k to j (unconditional) ⇒  $\sum_{k=0,k\neq j}^{\infty} q_{kj}P_k$  = rate of transition into j, from all states
- ▶ Rate of transition out of state *j* = Rate of transition into state *j*
- Balance equations  $\Rightarrow$  Balance nr. of transitions in and out of state j

### Limit distribution for birth and death process

- ROCHESTER
- Birth/deaths occur at state-dependent rates. When X(t) = i
- ► Births  $\Rightarrow$  Individuals added at exponential times with mean  $1/\lambda_i$  $\Rightarrow$  Birth rate = upward transition rate =  $q_{i,i+1} = \lambda_i$
- ► Deaths  $\Rightarrow$  Individuals removed at exponential times with mean  $1/\mu_i$  $\Rightarrow$  Death rate = downward transition rate =  $q_{i,i-1} = \mu_i$
- ▶ Transition time rates  $\Rightarrow \nu_i = \lambda_i + \mu_i, i > 0$  and  $\nu_0 = \lambda_0$



Limit distribution/balance equations: Rate out of j = Rate into j

$$(\lambda_i + \mu_i)P_i = \lambda_{i-1}P_{i-1} + \mu_{i+1}P_{i+1}$$
  
 $\lambda_0 P_0 = \mu_1 P_1$